

PEER REVIEW AT EMERALD

Peer review is an integral part of academic publishing.

Everything we publish is peer reviewed at the proposal stage, single blind, by a specialist from the relevant academic community.

What sort of opinions do we ask reviewers for?



Please write in your own words, a short synopsis of the work.

What are the main ideas within the work? What makes it original, ground breaking or thought provoking?



How would you assess the research content of the proposal – what does it contribute to the field?

Is the proposal comprehensive in its coverage of the subject area? In your opinion, is anything missing?



What are the proposal's strengths and weaknesses?

Is the book logically structured? If this is an edited work, is the coverage of the topic fluid and comprehensive, and is the coverage of the topic coherent?



Do you have any additional thoughts or recommendations that you would advise the author(s) to take into consideration as they revise the manuscript? Please feel free to offer specific feedback.



Who are our peer reviewers?

We ask you to provide a list of possible external peer reviewers in your proposal. We may use your names, but are as likely to pull on our own list of contacts to ask them to review your project. Be careful not to list peer reviewers who are based at your own institution or who were your PhD supervisors if your book is an adaptation of your thesis.

We want to provide you with constructive feedback, as quickly as we can, that will result in a better book for you and for our publishing programme.

If you would like any further information on the peer review process, please contact your commissioning editor.